

# The SAS System

## Review Panel Reviews by Type Fiscal Year 2019

All Tables Updated: 10/26/2020

	Initial		Extension		Reconsideration		Total	
		Row %		Row %		Row %		Row %
<b>September 2018</b>	25	41.7%	32	53.3%	3	5.0%	60	100.0%
<b>October 2018</b>	47	52.8%	38	42.7%	4	4.5%	89	100.0%
<b>November 2018</b>	36	49.3%	32	43.8%	5	6.8%	73	100.0%
<b>December 2018</b>	35	54.7%	27	42.2%	2	3.1%	64	100.0%
<b>January 2019</b>	38	44.7%	45	52.9%	2	2.4%	85	100.0%
<b>February 2019</b>	33	51.6%	30	46.9%	1	1.6%	64	100.0%
<b>March 2019</b>	35	47.3%	32	43.2%	7	9.5%	74	100.0%
<b>April 2019</b>	37	53.6%	30	43.5%	2	2.9%	69	100.0%
<b>May 2019</b>	24	47.1%	24	47.1%	3	5.9%	51	100.0%
<b>June 2019</b>	23	39.0%	34	57.6%	2	3.4%	59	100.0%
<b>July 2019</b>	33	61.1%	20	37.0%	1	1.9%	54	100.0%
<b>August 2019</b>	36	61.0%	21	35.6%	2	3.4%	59	100.0%
<b>Total</b>	402	50.2%	365	45.6%	34	4.2%	801	100.0%

**Review Panel Decision Distribution  
Fiscal Year 2019**

	Discharge		Extend		OE1		OR		Release		Reduce		SR		Uphold		Total	
		Row %		Row %		Row %		Row %		Row %		Row %		Row %		Row %		Row %
<b>September 2018</b>	-	-	40	66.7%	-	-	-	-	17	28.3%	-	-	1	1.7%	2	3.3%	60	100.0%
<b>October 2018</b>	-	-	50	56.2%	-	-	-	-	35	39.3%	-	-	1	1.1%	3	3.4%	89	100.0%
<b>November 2018</b>	-	-	34	46.6%	2	2.7%	-	-	34	46.6%	1	1.4%	2	2.7%	-	-	73	100.0%
<b>December 2018</b>	-	-	24	37.5%	-	-	-	-	38	59.4%	-	-	1	1.6%	1	1.6%	64	100.0%
<b>January 2019</b>	-	-	51	60.0%	2	2.4%	-	-	32	37.6%	-	-	-	-	-	-	85	100.0%
<b>February 2019</b>	4	6.3%	23	35.9%	-	-	1	1.6%	36	56.3%	-	-	-	-	-	-	64	100.0%
<b>March 2019</b>	2	2.7%	26	35.1%	1	1.4%	2	2.7%	39	52.7%	-	-	4	5.4%	-	-	74	100.0%
<b>April 2019</b>	2	2.9%	21	30.4%	1	1.4%	-	-	44	63.8%	-	-	1	1.4%	-	-	69	100.0%
<b>May 2019</b>	-	-	14	27.5%	1	2.0%	-	-	34	66.7%	-	-	2	3.9%	-	-	51	100.0%
<b>June 2019</b>	1	1.7%	15	25.4%	2	3.4%	-	-	41	69.5%	-	-	-	-	-	-	59	100.0%
<b>July 2019</b>	1	1.9%	20	37.0%	1	1.9%	-	-	32	59.3%	-	-	-	-	-	-	54	100.0%
<b>August 2019</b>	3	5.1%	20	33.9%	1	1.7%	-	-	34	57.6%	-	-	-	-	1	1.7%	59	100.0%
<b>Total</b>	13	1.6%	338	42.2%	11	1.4%	3	0.4%	416	51.9%	1	0.1%	12	1.5%	7	0.9%	801	100.0%

----- ACROSS GROUP COMPARISONS -----

**Extension Decisions  
By Location of Youth  
Fiscal Year 2019**

		Extend		OE1		OR		SR		Total Reviews	
			Col %		Col %		Col %		Col %		Col %
<b>Institution</b>	EVINS REG JUV CNTR	61	18.0%	5	45.5%	-	-	3	25.0%	122	15.7%
	GAINESVILLE	53	15.7%	1	9.1%	-	-	1	8.3%	128	16.5%
	GIDDINGS	71	21.0%	1	9.1%	-	-	4	33.3%	148	19.1%
	GIDDINGS YOUNG MALE PRGM	6	1.8%	-	-	-	-	-	-	10	1.3%
	MCLENNAN II	48	14.2%	2	18.2%	-	-	2	16.7%	109	14.1%
	MCLENNAN PHOENIX	14	4.1%	-	-	-	-	-	-	18	2.3%
	MCLENNAN RTC	35	10.4%	-	-	-	-	1	8.3%	58	7.5%
	RON JACKSON SJCC UT1	25	7.4%	1	9.1%	2	66.7%	-	-	62	8.0%
	Sub-Total	313	92.6%	10	90.9%	2	66.7%	11	91.7%	655	84.5%
<b>Halfway House</b>	AYRES HOUSE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	12	1.5%
	BROWNWOOD HOUSE	2	0.6%	-	-	-	-	-	-	7	0.9%
	COTTRELL HOUSE	1	0.3%	-	-	-	-	-	-	20	2.6%
	MCFADDEN	1	0.3%	1	9.1%	-	-	-	-	10	1.3%
	SCHAEFFER HOUSE	2	0.6%	-	-	-	-	-	-	10	1.3%
	TAMAYO HOUSE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	8	1.0%
	WILLOUGHBY HOUSE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	6	0.8%
	Sub-Total	6	1.8%	1	9.1%	-	-	-	-	73	9.4%
<b>Contract Care</b>	GARZA COUNTY REGIONAL JUVENILE CENTER	2	0.6%	-	-	-	-	1	8.3%	11	1.4%
	GULF COAST TRADES CENTER	5	1.5%	-	-	-	-	-	-	9	1.2%
	PEGASUS SCHOOL RTC	1	0.3%	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	0.1%
	RITE OF PASSAGE THE OAKS	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	7	0.9%
	RITE OF PASSAGE, INC-FEMALES	1	0.3%	-	-	-	-	-	-	4	0.5%
	RITE OF PASSAGE, INC-MALES	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	5	0.6%
	TERRELL STATE HOSPITAL	2	0.6%	-	-	1	33.3%	-	-	2	0.3%
	Sub-Total	11	3.3%	-	-	1	33.3%	1	8.3%	39	5.0%
<b>Parole</b>	REGION CENTRAL	1	0.3%	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	0.1%
	REGION EAST	1	0.3%	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	0.1%
	REGION NORTH	3	0.9%	-	-	-	-	-	-	3	0.4%
	REGION SOUTH	2	0.6%	-	-	-	-	-	-	2	0.3%
	REGION WEST	1	0.3%	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	0.1%
	Sub-Total	8	2.4%	-	-	-	-	-	-	8	1.0%
<b>Total</b>		338	100.0%	11	100.0%	3	100.0%	12	100.0%	775	100.0%

**Extension Decisions  
By Demographic Characteristics  
Fiscal Year 2019**

	Extend		OE1		OR		SR		Total Reviews	
		Col %		Col %		Col %		Col %		Col %
<b>Total</b>	338	100.0%	11	100.0%	3	100.0%	12	100.0%	775	100.0%
<b>Female</b>	30	8.9%	1	9.1%	3	100.0%	-	-	75	9.7%
<b>Male</b>	308	91.1%	10	90.9%	-	-	12	100.0%	700	90.3%
<b>Anglo</b>	73	21.6%	1	9.1%	1	33.3%	2	16.7%	173	22.3%
<b>Black</b>	149	44.1%	8	72.7%	1	33.3%	7	58.3%	330	42.6%
<b>Other</b>	116	34.3%	2	18.2%	1	33.3%	3	25.0%	272	35.1%
<b>12</b>	2	0.6%	-	-	-	-	-	-	3	0.4%
<b>13</b>	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	0.1%
<b>14</b>	12	3.6%	-	-	-	-	-	-	26	3.4%
<b>15</b>	50	14.8%	1	9.1%	-	-	1	8.3%	89	11.5%
<b>16</b>	69	20.4%	1	9.1%	1	33.3%	4	33.3%	168	21.7%
<b>17</b>	122	36.1%	6	54.5%	-	-	2	16.7%	284	36.6%
<b>18</b>	83	24.6%	3	27.3%	2	66.7%	5	41.7%	204	26.3%
<b>?</b>	328	97.0%	11	100.0%	3	100.0%	11	91.7%	757	97.7%
<b>No</b>	7	2.1%	-	-	-	-	-	-	12	1.5%
<b>Yes</b>	3	0.9%	-	-	-	-	1	8.3%	6	0.8%
<b>?</b>	328	97.0%	11	100.0%	3	100.0%	11	91.7%	757	97.7%
<b>No</b>	10	3.0%	-	-	-	-	1	8.3%	18	2.3%
<b>?</b>	328	97.0%	11	100.0%	3	100.0%	11	91.7%	757	97.7%
<b>No</b>	9	2.7%	-	-	-	-	1	8.3%	16	2.1%
<b>Yes</b>	1	0.3%	-	-	-	-	-	-	2	0.3%
<b>?</b>	328	97.0%	11	100.0%	3	100.0%	11	91.7%	757	97.7%
<b>No</b>	10	3.0%	-	-	-	-	1	8.3%	18	2.3%
<b>?</b>	328	97.0%	11	100.0%	3	100.0%	11	91.7%	757	97.7%
<b>No</b>	7	2.1%	-	-	-	-	1	8.3%	14	1.8%
<b>Yes</b>	3	0.9%	-	-	-	-	-	-	4	0.5%
<b>?</b>	328	97.0%	11	100.0%	3	100.0%	11	91.7%	757	97.7%
<b>No</b>	1	0.3%	-	-	-	-	-	-	3	0.4%
<b>Yes</b>	9	2.7%	-	-	-	-	1	8.3%	15	1.9%
<b>?</b>	328	97.0%	11	100.0%	3	100.0%	11	91.7%	757	97.7%
<b>No</b>	4	1.2%	-	-	-	-	1	8.3%	7	0.9%
<b>Yes</b>	6	1.8%	-	-	-	-	-	-	11	1.4%
<b>?</b>	328	97.0%	11	100.0%	3	100.0%	11	91.7%	757	97.7%
<b>No</b>	10	3.0%	-	-	-	-	1	8.3%	18	2.3%

(Continued)

\* Note: Only counties with 25 or more youth reviewed are displayed individually.

**Extension Decisions  
By Demographic Characteristics  
Fiscal Year 2019**

	Extend		OE1		OR		SR		Total Reviews	
		Col %		Col %		Col %		Col %		Col %
<b>Cameron</b>	17	5.0%	-	-	1	33.3%	-	-	37	4.8%
<b>Dallas</b>	25	7.4%	-	-	-	-	-	-	40	5.2%
<b>Harris</b>	57	16.9%	-	-	1	33.3%	4	33.3%	118	15.2%
<b>Jefferson</b>	9	2.7%	1	9.1%	-	-	1	8.3%	25	3.2%
<b>Mclennan</b>	18	5.3%	-	-	-	-	1	8.3%	34	4.4%
<b>Tarrant</b>	22	6.5%	2	18.2%	-	-	-	-	42	5.4%
<b>*Other Counties</b>	190	56.2%	8	72.7%	1	33.3%	6	50.0%	479	61.8%

\* Note: Only counties with 25 or more youth reviewed are displayed individually.

**Extensions by Alleged Mistreatment Filings  
Fiscal Year 2019**

	Extend		OE1		OR		SR		Total Reviews	
		Col %		Col %		Col %		Col %		Col %
<b>No</b>	118	34.9%	3	27.3%	1	33.3%	6	50.0%	328	42.3%
<b>Yes</b>	220	65.1%	8	72.7%	2	66.7%	6	50.0%	447	57.7%
<b>No</b>	247	73.1%	10	90.9%	-	-	10	83.3%	568	73.3%
<b>Yes</b>	91	26.9%	1	9.1%	3	100.0%	2	16.7%	207	26.7%
<b>No</b>	310	91.7%	9	81.8%	3	100.0%	11	91.7%	717	92.5%
<b>Yes</b>	28	8.3%	2	18.2%	-	-	1	8.3%	58	7.5%

\* Note: Allegation filed on or before panel review. Looks at only most serious allegation on each filing. Does not look at whether allegation was proven, only that it was filed.

----- WITHIN GROUP COMPARISONS -----  
Fiscal Year 2019

		Discharge		Extend		OE1		OR		Release	
			Row %		Row %		Row %		Row %		Row %
Institution	EVINS REG JUV CNTR	4	3.1%	61	46.9%	5	3.8%	-	-	55	42.3%
	GAINESVILLE	-	-	53	40.8%	1	0.8%	-	-	74	56.9%
	GIDDINGS	1	0.7%	71	46.4%	1	0.7%	-	-	74	48.4%
	GIDDINGS YOUNG MALE PRGM	-	-	6	60.0%	-	-	-	-	4	40.0%
	MCLENNAN II	1	0.9%	48	42.5%	2	1.8%	-	-	59	52.2%
	MCLENNAN PHOENIX	2	11.1%	14	77.8%	-	-	-	-	1	5.6%
	MCLENNAN RTC	2	3.4%	35	59.3%	-	-	-	-	21	35.6%
	RON JACKSON SJCC UT1	-	-	25	38.5%	1	1.5%	2	3.1%	36	55.4%
	Sub-Total	10	1.5%	313	46.2%	10	1.5%	2	0.3%	324	47.8%
Halfway House	AYRES HOUSE	1	8.3%	-	-	-	-	-	-	11	91.7%
	BROWNWOOD HOUSE	-	-	2	28.6%	-	-	-	-	5	71.4%
	COTTRELL HOUSE	1	5.0%	1	5.0%	-	-	-	-	18	90.0%
	MCFADDEN	-	-	1	9.1%	1	9.1%	-	-	9	81.8%
	SCHAEFFER HOUSE	1	10.0%	2	20.0%	-	-	-	-	7	70.0%
	TAMAYO HOUSE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	8	100.0%
	WILLOUGHBY HOUSE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	6	100.0%
	Sub-Total	3	4.1%	6	8.1%	1	1.4%	-	-	64	86.5%
Contract Care	GARZA COUNTY REGIONAL JUVENILE CENTER	-	-	2	16.7%	-	-	-	-	9	75.0%
	GULF COAST TRADES CENTER	-	-	5	55.6%	-	-	-	-	4	44.4%
	PEGASUS SCHOOL RTC	-	-	1	100.0%	-	-	-	-	-	-
	RITE OF PASSAGE THE OAKS	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	7	100.0%
	RITE OF PASSAGE, INC-FEMALES	-	-	1	25.0%	-	-	-	-	3	75.0%
	RITE OF PASSAGE, INC-MALES	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	5	100.0%
	TERRELL STATE HOSPITAL	-	-	2	66.7%	-	-	1	33.3%	-	-
	Sub-Total	-	-	11	26.8%	-	-	1	2.4%	28	68.3%
Parole	REGION CENTRAL	-	-	1	100.0%	-	-	-	-	-	-
	REGION EAST	-	-	1	100.0%	-	-	-	-	-	-
	REGION NORTH	-	-	3	100.0%	-	-	-	-	-	-
	REGION SOUTH	-	-	2	100.0%	-	-	-	-	-	-
	REGION WEST	-	-	1	100.0%	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Sub-Total	-	-	8	100.0%	-	-	-	-	-	-
<b>Total</b>		13	1.6%	338	42.2%	11	1.4%	3	0.4%	416	51.9%

----- WITHIN GROUP COMPARISONS -----  
Fiscal Year 2019

		Reduce		SR		Uphold		Total	
			Row %		Row %		Row %		Row %
Institution	EVINS REG JUV CNTR	-	-	3	2.3%	2	1.5%	130	100.0%
	GAINESVILLE	-	-	1	0.8%	1	0.8%	130	100.0%
	GIDDINGS	-	-	4	2.6%	2	1.3%	153	100.0%
	GIDDINGS YOUNG MALE PRGM	-	-	-	-	-	-	10	100.0%
	MCLENNAN II	-	-	2	1.8%	1	0.9%	113	100.0%
	MCLENNAN PHOENIX	1	5.6%	-	-	-	-	18	100.0%
	MCLENNAN RTC	-	-	1	1.7%	-	-	59	100.0%
	RON JACKSON SJCC UT1	-	-	-	-	1	1.5%	65	100.0%
	Sub-Total	1	0.1%	11	1.6%	7	1.0%	678	100.0%
Halfway House	AYRES HOUSE	-	-	-	-	-	-	12	100.0%
	BROWNWOOD HOUSE	-	-	-	-	-	-	7	100.0%
	COTTRELL HOUSE	-	-	-	-	-	-	20	100.0%
	MCFADDEN	-	-	-	-	-	-	11	100.0%
	SCHAEFFER HOUSE	-	-	-	-	-	-	10	100.0%
	TAMAYO HOUSE	-	-	-	-	-	-	8	100.0%
	WILLOUGHBY HOUSE	-	-	-	-	-	-	6	100.0%
	Sub-Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	74	100.0%
Contract Care	GARZA COUNTY REGIONAL JUVENILE CENTER	-	-	1	8.3%	-	-	12	100.0%
	GULF COAST TRADES CENTER	-	-	-	-	-	-	9	100.0%
	PEGASUS SCHOOL RTC	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	100.0%
	RITE OF PASSAGE THE OAKS	-	-	-	-	-	-	7	100.0%
	RITE OF PASSAGE, INC-FEMALES	-	-	-	-	-	-	4	100.0%
	RITE OF PASSAGE, INC-MALES	-	-	-	-	-	-	5	100.0%
	TERRELL STATE HOSPITAL	-	-	-	-	-	-	3	100.0%
	Sub-Total	-	-	1	2.4%	-	-	41	100.0%
Parole	REGION CENTRAL	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	100.0%
	REGION EAST	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	100.0%
	REGION NORTH	-	-	-	-	-	-	3	100.0%
	REGION SOUTH	-	-	-	-	-	-	2	100.0%
	REGION WEST	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	100.0%
	Sub-Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	8	100.0%
<b>Total</b>		1	0.1%	12	1.5%	7	0.9%	801	100.0%



**Extension Reviews  
By Demographic Characteristics  
Fiscal Year 2019**  
**(Includes Review Types of Initial and Extend Only)**

	Discharge		Extend		Release		Total	
		Row %		Row %		Row %		Row %
<b>Total</b>	13	1.7%	338	44.1%	416	54.2%	767	100.0%
<b>Female</b>	-	-	30	40.5%	44	59.5%	74	100.0%
<b>Male</b>	13	1.9%	308	44.4%	372	53.7%	693	100.0%
<b>Anglo</b>	3	1.8%	73	43.2%	93	55.0%	169	100.0%
<b>Black</b>	4	1.2%	149	45.6%	174	53.2%	327	100.0%
<b>Other</b>	6	2.2%	116	42.8%	149	55.0%	271	100.0%
<b>12</b>	-	-	2	66.7%	1	33.3%	3	100.0%
<b>13</b>	-	-	-	-	1	100.0%	1	100.0%
<b>14</b>	-	-	12	46.2%	14	53.8%	26	100.0%
<b>15</b>	-	-	50	56.2%	39	43.8%	89	100.0%
<b>16</b>	1	0.6%	69	41.3%	97	58.1%	167	100.0%
<b>17</b>	1	0.4%	122	43.4%	158	56.2%	281	100.0%
<b>18</b>	11	5.5%	83	41.5%	106	53.0%	200	100.0%
<b>?</b>	13	1.7%	328	43.7%	409	54.5%	750	100.0%
<b>No</b>	-	-	7	58.3%	5	41.7%	12	100.0%
<b>Yes</b>	-	-	3	60.0%	2	40.0%	5	100.0%
<b>?</b>	13	1.7%	328	43.7%	409	54.5%	750	100.0%
<b>No</b>	-	-	10	58.8%	7	41.2%	17	100.0%
<b>?</b>	13	1.7%	328	43.7%	409	54.5%	750	100.0%
<b>No</b>	-	-	9	60.0%	6	40.0%	15	100.0%
<b>Yes</b>	-	-	1	50.0%	1	50.0%	2	100.0%
<b>?</b>	13	1.7%	328	43.7%	409	54.5%	750	100.0%
<b>No</b>	-	-	10	58.8%	7	41.2%	17	100.0%
<b>?</b>	13	1.7%	328	43.7%	409	54.5%	750	100.0%
<b>No</b>	-	-	7	53.8%	6	46.2%	13	100.0%
<b>Yes</b>	-	-	3	75.0%	1	25.0%	4	100.0%
<b>?</b>	13	1.7%	328	43.7%	409	54.5%	750	100.0%
<b>No</b>	-	-	1	33.3%	2	66.7%	3	100.0%
<b>Yes</b>	-	-	9	64.3%	5	35.7%	14	100.0%
<b>?</b>	13	1.7%	328	43.7%	409	54.5%	750	100.0%
<b>No</b>	-	-	4	57.1%	3	42.9%	7	100.0%
<b>Yes</b>	-	-	6	60.0%	4	40.0%	10	100.0%
<b>?</b>	13	1.7%	328	43.7%	409	54.5%	750	100.0%

(Continued)

\* Note: Only counties with 25 or more youth reviewed are displayed individually.

**Extension Reviews  
By Demographic Characteristics  
Fiscal Year 2019**  
**(Includes Review Types of Initial and Extend Only)**

	Discharge		Extend		Release		Total	
		Row %		Row %		Row %		Row %
<b>No</b>	-	-	10	58.8%	7	41.2%	17	100.0%
<b>Cameron</b>	2	5.6%	17	47.2%	17	47.2%	36	100.0%
<b>Dallas</b>	-	-	25	62.5%	15	37.5%	40	100.0%
<b>Harris</b>	3	2.6%	57	48.7%	57	48.7%	117	100.0%
<b>Jefferson</b>	1	4.3%	9	39.1%	13	56.5%	23	100.0%
<b>Mclennan</b>	1	2.9%	18	52.9%	15	44.1%	34	100.0%
<b>Tarrant</b>	-	-	22	52.4%	20	47.6%	42	100.0%
<b>*Other Counties</b>	6	1.3%	190	40.0%	279	58.7%	475	100.0%

\* Note: Only counties with 25 or more youth reviewed are displayed individually.

**Decision Distribution by Alleged Mistreatment Filings  
Fiscal Year 2019**

	Discharge		Extend		OE1		OR		Release		Reduce		SR		Uphold		Total	
		Row %		Row %		Row %		Row %		Row %		Row %		Row %		Row %		Row %
<b>No</b>	6	1.8%	118	34.9%	3	0.9%	1	0.3%	204	60.4%	-	-	6	1.8%	-	-	338	100.0%
<b>Yes</b>	7	1.5%	220	47.5%	8	1.7%	2	0.4%	212	45.8%	1	0.2%	6	1.3%	7	1.5%	463	100.0%
<b>No</b>	6	1.0%	247	42.0%	10	1.7%	-	-	310	52.7%	1	0.2%	10	1.7%	4	0.7%	588	100.0%
<b>Yes</b>	7	3.3%	91	42.7%	1	0.5%	3	1.4%	106	49.8%	-	-	2	0.9%	3	1.4%	213	100.0%
<b>No</b>	12	1.6%	310	41.9%	9	1.2%	3	0.4%	388	52.4%	1	0.1%	11	1.5%	6	0.8%	740	100.0%
<b>Yes</b>	1	1.6%	28	45.9%	2	3.3%	-	-	28	45.9%	-	-	1	1.6%	1	1.6%	61	100.0%

\* Note: Allegation filed on or before panel review. Looks at only most serious allegation on each filing. Does not look at whether allegation was proven, only that it was filed.

**Reconsideration of Extension Reviews  
By Demographic Characteristics  
Fiscal Year 2019**

**(Includes Review Type of Reconsideration Only)**

	Uphold		Total	
		Row %		Row %
<b>Total</b>	4	100.0%	4	100.0%
<b>Male</b>	4	100.0%	4	100.0%
<b>Anglo</b>	1	100.0%	1	100.0%
<b>Black</b>	2	100.0%	2	100.0%
<b>Other</b>	1	100.0%	1	100.0%
<b>17</b>	1	100.0%	1	100.0%
<b>18</b>	3	100.0%	3	100.0%
<b>?</b>	3	100.0%	3	100.0%
<b>Yes</b>	1	100.0%	1	100.0%
<b>?</b>	3	100.0%	3	100.0%
<b>No</b>	1	100.0%	1	100.0%
<b>?</b>	3	100.0%	3	100.0%
<b>No</b>	1	100.0%	1	100.0%
<b>?</b>	3	100.0%	3	100.0%
<b>No</b>	1	100.0%	1	100.0%
<b>?</b>	3	100.0%	3	100.0%
<b>No</b>	1	100.0%	1	100.0%
<b>?</b>	3	100.0%	3	100.0%
<b>Yes</b>	1	100.0%	1	100.0%
<b>?</b>	3	100.0%	3	100.0%
<b>Yes</b>	1	100.0%	1	100.0%
<b>?</b>	3	100.0%	3	100.0%
<b>No</b>	1	100.0%	1	100.0%
<b>Cameron</b>	1	100.0%	1	100.0%
<b>Harris</b>	1	100.0%	1	100.0%
<b>Jefferson</b>	1	100.0%	1	100.0%
<b>*Other Counties</b>	1	100.0%	1	100.0%

\* Note: Only counties with 25 or more youth reviewed are displayed individually.