

Review Panel Reviews by Type Fiscal Year 2015

All Tables Updated: 03/23/2016

	Initial		Extension		Reconsideration		Total	
		Row %		Row %		Row %		Row %
September 2014	41	36.9%	66	59.5%	4	3.6%	111	100.0%
October 2014	44	39.6%	57	51.4%	10	9.0%	111	100.0%
November 2014	41	38.0%	55	50.9%	12	11.1%	108	100.0%
December 2014	47	52.8%	37	41.6%	5	5.6%	89	100.0%
January 2015	47	38.8%	68	56.2%	6	5.0%	121	100.0%
February 2015	42	51.2%	37	45.1%	3	3.7%	82	100.0%
March 2015	43	41.3%	56	53.8%	5	4.8%	104	100.0%
April 2015	41	41.0%	55	55.0%	4	4.0%	100	100.0%
May 2015	56	52.8%	47	44.3%	3	2.8%	106	100.0%
June 2015	52	48.1%	52	48.1%	4	3.7%	108	100.0%
July 2015	54	46.2%	56	47.9%	7	6.0%	117	100.0%
August 2015	43	38.1%	55	48.7%	15	13.3%	113	100.0%
Total	551	43.4%	641	50.5%	78	6.1%	1270	100.0%

Review Panel Decision Distribution Fiscal Year 2015

	Discharge		Extend		OD2		OE1		OE2		OR		Release		Reduce		SD		SR		Uphold		Total	
		Row %		Row %		Row %		Row %		Row %		Row %		Row %		Row %		Row %		Row %		Row %		Row %
September 2014	4	3.6%	69	62.2%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	34	30.6%	1	0.9%	-	-	2	1.8%	1	0.9%	111	100.0%
October 2014	1	0.9%	77	69.4%	-	-	-	-	-	2	1.8%	23	20.7%	2	1.8%	-	-	2	1.8%	4	3.6%	111	100.0%	
November 2014	2	1.9%	63	58.3%	-	-	1	0.9%	-	-	-	-	31	28.7%	1	0.9%	1	0.9%	3	2.8%	6	5.6%	108	100.0%
December 2014	1	1.1%	61	68.5%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	22	24.7%	-	-	1	1.1%	3	3.4%	1	1.1%	89	100.0%
January 2015	2	1.7%	83	68.6%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	30	24.8%	1	0.8%	-	-	2	1.7%	3	2.5%	121	100.0%
February 2015	2	2.4%	57	69.5%	-	-	-	-	-	1	1.2%	20	24.4%	-	-	-	-	-	-	2	2.4%	82	100.0%	
March 2015	1	1.0%	70	67.3%	1	1.0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	28	26.9%	1	1.0%	-	-	-	-	3	2.9%	104	100.0%
April 2015	3	3.0%	71	71.0%	-	-	1	1.0%	-	1	1.0%	22	22.0%	-	-	-	-	1	1.0%	1	1.0%	100	100.0%	
May 2015	3	2.8%	70	66.0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	30	28.3%	1	0.9%	-	-	-	-	2	1.9%	106	100.0%
June 2015	5	4.6%	76	70.4%	-	-	-	-	-	2	1.9%	23	21.3%	1	0.9%	-	-	1	0.9%	-	-	108	100.0%	
July 2015	5	4.3%	71	60.7%	-	-	4	3.4%	-	-	-	-	34	29.1%	-	-	-	-	2	1.7%	1	0.9%	117	100.0%
August 2015	4	3.5%	71	62.8%	-	-	3	2.7%	1	0.9%	1	0.9%	23	20.4%	-	-	3	2.7%	2	1.8%	5	4.4%	113	100.0%
Total	33	2.6%	839	66.1%	1	0.1%	9	0.7%	1	0.1%	7	0.6%	320	25.2%	8	0.6%	5	0.4%	18	1.4%	29	2.3%	1270	100.0%

----- ACROSS GROUP COMPARISONS -----

Extension Decisions By Location of Youth Fiscal Year 2015

Institution		Extend		OD2		OE1		OE2		OR		SD		SR		Total Reviews	
			Col %		Col %		Col %		Col %		Col %		Col %		Col %		Col %
EVINS REG JUV CNTR		147	17.5%	-	-	7	77.8%	-	-	1	14.3%	-	-	5	27.8%	181	14.7%
	GAINESVILLE	209	24.9%	-	-	1	11.1%	-	-	1	14.3%	1	20.0%	5	27.8%	315	25.6%

	GIDDINGS	92	11.0%	1	100.0%	1	11.1%	1	100.0%	1	14.3%	-	-	-	-	118	9.6%
	MCLENNAN II	202	24.1%	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	14.3%	2	40.0%	2	11.1%	252	20.5%
	MCLENNAN PHOENIX	12	1.4%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	13	1.1%
	MCLENNAN RTC	43	5.1%	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	14.3%	-	-	-	-	58	4.7%
	RJ YOUNG MALE PRGM	4	0.5%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	8	0.7%
	RON JACKSON O & A	1	0.1%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	0.1%
	RON JACKSON SJCC UT1	69	8.2%	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	14.3%	-	-	-	-	123	10.0%
	Sub-Total	779	92.8%	1	100.0%	9	100.0%	1	100.0%	6	85.7%	3	60.0%	12	66.7%	1069	87.0%
Halfway House	AYRES HOUSE	4	0.5%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	5.6%	14	1.1%	
	BROWNWOOD HOUSE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	3	0.2%	
	COTTRELL HOUSE	5	0.6%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	20.0%	-	-	15	1.2%	
	MCFADDEN	8	1.0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	30	2.4%	
	SCHAEFFER HOUSE	1	0.1%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	8	0.7%	
	TAMAYO HOUSE	4	0.5%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	9	0.7%	
	WILLOUGHBY HOUSE	4	0.5%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	16	1.3%	
	YORK HOUSE	7	0.8%	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	14.3%	-	-	3	16.7%	14	1.1%
	Sub-Total	33	3.9%	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	14.3%	1	20.0%	4	22.2%	109	8.9%
Contract Care	AMIKIDS, DBA RIO GRANDE VALLEY	3	0.4%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	5	0.4%	
	BYRDS THERAPEUTIC GROUP HOME	2	0.2%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	4	0.3%	
	GARZA COUNTY REGIONAL JUVENILE CENTER	7	0.8%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	20.0%	2	11.1%	17	1.4%	
	GULF COAST TRADES CENTER	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	0.1%	
	THE OAKS	4	0.5%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	10	0.8%	
	TRIUMPH HOUSE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	0.1%	
	Sub-Total	16	1.9%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	20.0%	2	11.1%	38	3.1%	
Parole	REGION CENTRAL	6	0.7%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	7	0.6%	
	REGION EAST	3	0.4%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	3	0.2%	
	REGION SOUTH	2	0.2%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	2	0.2%	
	REGION WEST	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	0.1%	
	Sub-Total	11	1.3%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	13	1.1%	
Total	839	100.0%	1	100.0%	9	100.0%	1	100.0%	7	100.0%	5	100.0%	18	100.0%	1229	100.0%	

Extension Decisions By Demographic Characteristics Fiscal Year 2015

	Extend		OD2		OE1		OE2		OR		SD		SR		Total Reviews	
	Col %		Col %		Col %		Col %		Col %		Col %		Col %		Col %	
Total	839	100.0%	1	100.0%	9	100.0%	1	100.0%	7	100.0%	5	100.0%	18	100.0%	1229	100.0%
Gender																
Female	69	8.2%	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	14.3%	-	-	-	-	127	10.3%
Male	770	91.8%	1	100.0%	9	100.0%	1	100.0%	6	85.7%	5	100.0%	18	100.0%	1102	89.7%
Ethnicity																
Anglo	153	18.2%	1	100.0%	1	11.1%	-	-	-	-	1	20.0%	4	22.2%	238	19.4%
Black	330	39.3%	-	-	4	44.4%	-	-	2	28.6%	3	60.0%	4	22.2%	471	38.3%
Other	356	42.4%	-	-	4	44.4%	1	100.0%	5	71.4%	1	20.0%	10	55.6%	520	42.3%
Age on Review Date																
12	1	0.1%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	0.1%
13	5	0.6%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	9	0.7%	
14	25	3.0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	33	2.7%	
15	80	9.5%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	20.0%	1	5.6%	106	8.6%	
16	196	23.4%	-	-	1	11.1%	-	-	2	28.6%	-	-	2	11.1%	259	21.1%
17	314	37.4%	-	-	4	44.4%	1	100.0%	2	28.6%	-	-	7	38.9%	459	37.3%

18	218	26.0%	1	100.0%	4	44.4%	-	-	3	42.9%	4	80.0%	8	44.4%	362	29.5%
High Need Chemical Dependency																
No	507	60.4%	-	-	6	66.7%	-	-	4	57.1%	4	80.0%	9	50.0%	750	61.0%
Yes	332	39.6%	1	100.0%	3	33.3%	1	100.0%	3	42.9%	1	20.0%	9	50.0%	479	39.0%
High Need Capital Offender																
No	817	97.4%	1	100.0%	9	100.0%	1	100.0%	7	100.0%	5	100.0%	18	100.0%	1199	97.6%
Yes	22	2.6%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	30	2.4%
High Need Sex Offender																
No	742	88.4%	-	-	9	100.0%	1	100.0%	6	85.7%	5	100.0%	17	94.4%	1091	88.8%
Yes	97	11.6%	1	100.0%	-	-	-	-	1	14.3%	-	-	1	5.6%	138	11.2%
High Need Mental Health																
No	832	99.2%	1	100.0%	9	100.0%	1	100.0%	6	85.7%	5	100.0%	18	100.0%	1217	99.0%
Yes	7	0.8%	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	14.3%	-	-	-	-	12	1.0%
Moderate Need Chemical Dependency																
No	550	65.6%	1	100.0%	6	66.7%	1	100.0%	6	85.7%	2	40.0%	12	66.7%	796	64.8%
Yes	289	34.4%	-	-	3	33.3%	-	-	1	14.3%	3	60.0%	6	33.3%	433	35.2%
Moderate Need Capital Offender																
No	284	33.8%	1	100.0%	4	44.4%	-	-	3	42.9%	2	40.0%	6	33.3%	440	35.8%
Yes	555	66.2%	-	-	5	55.6%	1	100.0%	4	57.1%	3	60.0%	12	66.7%	789	64.2%
Moderate Need Mental Health																
No	678	80.8%	1	100.0%	7	77.8%	1	100.0%	7	100.0%	4	80.0%	15	83.3%	1000	81.4%
Yes	161	19.2%	-	-	2	22.2%	-	-	-	-	1	20.0%	3	16.7%	229	18.6%
Moderate Need Sex Offender																
No	813	96.9%	1	100.0%	9	100.0%	1	100.0%	6	85.7%	5	100.0%	18	100.0%	1190	96.8%
Yes	26	3.1%	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	14.3%	-	-	-	-	39	3.2%
Committing County																
Bell	21	2.5%	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	14.3%	-	-	-	-	37	3.0%
Bexar	42	5.0%	-	-	1	11.1%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	71	5.8%
Cameron	23	2.7%	-	-	2	22.2%	-	-	-	-	1	20.0%	1	5.6%	30	2.4%
Dallas	51	6.1%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	2	11.1%	69	5.6%
Ector	23	2.7%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	36	2.9%
El Paso	20	2.4%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	37	3.0%
Fort Bend	17	2.0%	-	-	2	22.2%	-	-	-	-	1	20.0%	-	-	24	2.0%
Harris	86	10.3%	-	-	1	11.1%	-	-	1	14.3%	-	-	1	5.6%	131	10.7%
Hidalgo	73	8.7%	-	-	-	-	-	-	3	42.9%	-	-	1	5.6%	92	7.5%
Jefferson	26	3.1%	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	14.3%	-	-	-	-	31	2.5%
Mclennan	23	2.7%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	2	11.1%	33	2.7%
Tarrant	56	6.7%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	86	7.0%
*Other Counties	378	45.1%	1	100.0%	3	33.3%	1	100.0%	1	14.3%	3	60.0%	11	61.1%	552	44.9%

* Note: Only counties with 25 or more youth reviewed are displayed individually.

Extensions by Alleged Mistreatment Filings Fiscal Year 2015

	Extend		OD2	OE1	OE2	OR	SD	SR	Total Reviews							
	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %						
Filed Allegation of Abuse*																
No	587	70.0%	1	100.0%	8	88.9%	1	100.0%	5	71.4%	4	80.0%	14	77.8%	892	72.6%
Yes	252	30.0%	-	-	1	11.1%	-	-	2	28.6%	1	20.0%	4	22.2%	337	27.4%
Filed Allegation of Neglect*																
No	751	89.5%	1	100.0%	7	77.8%	1	100.0%	6	85.7%	4	80.0%	16	88.9%	1107	90.1%

Yes	88	10.5%	-	-	2	22.2%	-	-	1	14.3%	1	20.0%	2	11.1%	122	9.9%
Filed Allegation of Exploitation*																
No	830	98.9%	1	100.0%	9	100.0%	1	100.0%	7	100.0%	5	100.0%	18	100.0%	1217	99.0%
Yes	9	1.1%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	12	1.0%

* Note: Allegation filed on or before panel review. Looks at only most serious allegation on each filing. Does not look at whether allegation was proven, only that it was filed.

----- WITHIN GROUP COMPARISONS -----
Fiscal Year 2015

Institution		Discharge		Extend		OD2		OE1		OE2		OR		Release		Reduce		SD		SR		Uphold		Total	
			Row %		Row %		Row %		Row %		Row %		Row %		Row %		Row %		Row %		Row %		Row %		Row %
Institution	EVINS REG JUV CNTR	4	2.1%	147	75.8%	-	-	7	3.6%	-	-	1	0.5%	23	11.9%	2	1.0%	-	-	5	2.6%	5	2.6%	194	100.0%
	GAINESVILLE	14	4.3%	209	64.7%	-	-	1	0.3%	-	-	1	0.3%	81	25.1%	2	0.6%	1	0.3%	5	1.5%	9	2.8%	323	100.0%
	GIDDINGS	2	1.6%	92	75.4%	1	0.8%	1	0.8%	1	0.8%	1	0.8%	21	17.2%	1	0.8%	-	-	-	-	2	1.6%	122	100.0%
	MCLENNAN II	5	1.9%	202	78.6%	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	0.4%	36	14.0%	-	-	2	0.8%	2	0.8%	9	3.5%	257	100.0%
	MCLENNAN PHOENIX	-	-	12	92.3%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	7.7%	13	100.0%
	MCLENNAN RTC	1	1.7%	43	72.9%	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	1.7%	13	22.0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	1.7%	59	100.0%
	RJ YOUNG MALE PRGM	-	-	4	50.0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	4	50.0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	8	100.0%
	RON JACKSON O & A	-	-	1	100.0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	100.0%
	RON JACKSON SJCC UT1	1	0.8%	69	55.6%	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	0.8%	52	41.9%	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	0.8%	124	100.0%
	Sub-Total	27	2.5%	779	70.8%	1	0.1%	9	0.8%	1	0.1%	6	0.5%	230	20.9%	5	0.5%	3	0.3%	12	1.1%	28	2.5%	1101	100.0%
Halfway House	AYRES HOUSE	1	6.7%	4	26.7%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	8	53.3%	1	6.7%	-	-	1	6.7%	-	-	15	100.0%	
	BROWNWOOD HOUSE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	3	100.0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	3	100.0%	
	COTTRELL HOUSE	-	-	5	31.3%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	10	62.5%	-	-	1	6.3%	-	-	-	-	16	100.0%	
	MCFADDEN	-	-	8	26.7%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	22	73.3%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	30	100.0%	
	SCHAEFFER HOUSE	1	12.5%	1	12.5%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	6	75.0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	8	100.0%	
	TAMAYO HOUSE	-	-	4	44.4%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	5	55.6%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	9	100.0%	
	WILLOUGHBY HOUSE	-	-	4	25.0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	12	75.0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	16	100.0%	
	YORK HOUSE	1	5.6%	7	38.9%	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	5.6%	6	33.3%	-	-	-	-	3	16.7%	-	-	18	100.0%
	Sub-Total	3	2.6%	33	28.7%	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	0.9%	72	62.6%	1	0.9%	1	0.9%	4	3.5%	-	-	115	100.0%
Contract Care	AMI KIDS, DBA RIO GRANDE VALLEY	-	-	3	60.0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	2	40.0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	5	100.0%	
	BYRDS THERAPEUTIC GROUP HOME	-	-	2	50.0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	2	50.0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	4	100.0%	
	GARZA COUNTY REGIONAL JUVENILE CENTER	2	10.0%	7	35.0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	6	30.0%	2	10.0%	1	5.0%	2	10.0%	-	-	20	100.0%	
	GULF COAST TRADES CENTER	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	100.0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	100.0%	
	THE OAKS	-	-	4	40.0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	6	60.0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	10	100.0%	
	TRIUMPH HOUSE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	100.0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	100.0%	
Sub-Total	2	4.9%	16	39.0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	18	43.9%	2	4.9%	1	2.4%	2	4.9%	-	-	41	100.0%		

Parole	REGION CENTRAL	-	-	6	85.7%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	14.3%	7	100.0%		
	REGION EAST	-	-	3	100.0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	3	100.0%	
	REGION SOUTH	-	-	2	100.0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	2	100.0%	
	REGION WEST	1	100.0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	100.0%	
	Sub - Total	1	7.7%	11	84.6%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	7.7%	13
Total	33	2.6%	839	66.1%	1	0.1%	9	0.7%	1	0.1%	7	0.6%	320	25.2%	8	0.6%	5	0.4%	18	1.4%	29	2.3%	1270	100.0%

Extension Reviews By Demographic Characteristics Fiscal Year 2015

(Includes Review Types of Initial and Extend Only)

	Discharge		Extend		Release		Total	
		Row %		Row %		Row %		Row %
Total	33	2.8%	839	70.4%	320	26.8%	1192	100.0%
Gender								
Female	1	0.8%	69	54.8%	56	44.4%	126	100.0%
Male	32	3.0%	770	72.2%	264	24.8%	1066	100.0%
Ethnicity								
Anglo	2	0.9%	153	65.1%	80	34.0%	235	100.0%
Black	17	3.8%	330	72.8%	106	23.4%	453	100.0%
Other	14	2.8%	356	70.6%	134	26.6%	504	100.0%
Age on Review Date								
12	-	-	1	100.0%	-	-	1	100.0%
13	-	-	5	55.6%	4	44.4%	9	100.0%
14	-	-	25	75.8%	8	24.2%	33	100.0%
15	-	-	80	77.7%	23	22.3%	103	100.0%
16	-	-	196	78.1%	55	21.9%	251	100.0%
17	4	0.9%	314	70.1%	130	29.0%	448	100.0%
18	29	8.4%	218	62.8%	100	28.8%	347	100.0%
High Need Chemical Dependency								
No	24	3.3%	507	69.7%	196	27.0%	727	100.0%
Yes	9	1.9%	332	71.4%	124	26.7%	465	100.0%
High Need Capital Offender								
No	33	2.8%	817	70.2%	313	26.9%	1163	100.0%
Yes	-	-	22	75.9%	7	24.1%	29	100.0%
High Need Sex Offender								
No	32	3.0%	742	70.1%	284	26.8%	1058	100.0%
Yes	1	0.7%	97	72.4%	36	26.9%	134	100.0%
High Need Mental Health								
No	33	2.8%	832	70.4%	316	26.8%	1181	100.0%
Yes	-	-	7	63.6%	4	36.4%	11	100.0%
Moderate Need Chemical Dependency								
No	19	2.5%	550	71.2%	204	26.4%	773	100.0%
Yes	14	3.3%	289	69.0%	116	27.7%	419	100.0%
Moderate Need Capital Offender								
No	19	4.4%	284	65.7%	129	29.9%	432	100.0%
Yes	14	1.8%	555	73.0%	191	25.1%	760	100.0%
Moderate Need Mental Health								
No	30	3.1%	678	70.0%	261	26.9%	969	100.0%

Yes	3	1.3%	161	72.2%	59	26.5%	223	100.0%
Moderate Need Sex Offender								
No	31	2.7%	813	70.4%	311	26.9%	1155	100.0%
Yes	2	5.4%	26	70.3%	9	24.3%	37	100.0%
Committing County								
Bell	2	5.6%	21	58.3%	13	36.1%	36	100.0%
Bexar	2	2.9%	42	60.9%	25	36.2%	69	100.0%
Cameron	-	-	23	76.7%	7	23.3%	30	100.0%
Dallas	2	2.9%	51	75.0%	15	22.1%	68	100.0%
Ector	1	2.8%	23	63.9%	12	33.3%	36	100.0%
El Paso	2	5.4%	20	54.1%	15	40.5%	37	100.0%
Fort Bend	-	-	17	73.9%	6	26.1%	23	100.0%
Harris	4	3.2%	86	68.8%	35	28.0%	125	100.0%
Hidalgo	2	2.3%	73	83.9%	12	13.8%	87	100.0%
Jefferson	1	3.2%	26	83.9%	4	12.9%	31	100.0%
McLennan	-	-	23	76.7%	7	23.3%	30	100.0%
Tarrant	-	-	56	65.9%	29	34.1%	85	100.0%
*Other Counties	17	3.2%	378	70.7%	140	26.2%	535	100.0%

* Note: Only counties with 25 or more youth reviewed are displayed individually.

Decision Distribution by Alleged Mistreatment Filings Fiscal Year 2015

	Discharge		Extend		OD2		OE1		OE2		OR		Release		Reduce		SD		SR		Uphold		Total	
	Count	Row %	Count	Row %	Count	Row %	Count	Row %	Count	Row %	Count	Row %	Count	Row %	Count	Row %	Count	Row %	Count	Row %	Count	Row %	Count	Row %
Filed Allegation of Abuse*																								
No	22	2.4%	587	63.5%	1	0.1%	8	0.9%	1	0.1%	5	0.5%	261	28.2%	6	0.6%	4	0.4%	14	1.5%	16	1.7%	925	100.0%
Yes	11	3.2%	252	73.0%	-	-	1	0.3%	-	-	2	0.6%	59	17.1%	2	0.6%	1	0.3%	4	1.2%	13	3.8%	345	100.0%
Filed Allegation of Neglect*																								
No	25	2.2%	751	65.8%	1	0.1%	7	0.6%	1	0.1%	6	0.5%	301	26.4%	6	0.5%	4	0.4%	16	1.4%	24	2.1%	1142	100.0%
Yes	8	6.3%	88	68.8%	-	-	2	1.6%	-	-	1	0.8%	19	14.8%	2	1.6%	1	0.8%	2	1.6%	5	3.9%	128	100.0%
Filed Allegation of Exploitation*																								
No	32	2.5%	830	66.0%	1	0.1%	9	0.7%	1	0.1%	7	0.6%	318	25.3%	8	0.6%	5	0.4%	18	1.4%	29	2.3%	1258	100.0%
Yes	1	8.3%	9	75.0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	2	16.7%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	12	100.0%

* Note: Allegation filed on or before panel review. Looks at only most serious allegation on each filing. Does not look at whether allegation was proven, only that it was filed.

Reconsideration of Extension Reviews By Demographic Characteristics Fiscal Year 2015

(Includes Review Type of Reconsideration Only)

	Uphold		Total	
	Count	Row %	Count	Row %
Total	26	100.0%	26	100.0%
Gender				
Female	1	100.0%	1	100.0%
Male	25	100.0%	25	100.0%

Ethnicity				
Anglo	1	100.0%	1	100.0%
Black	15	100.0%	15	100.0%
Other	10	100.0%	10	100.0%
Age on Review Date				
15	3	100.0%	3	100.0%
16	6	100.0%	6	100.0%
17	9	100.0%	9	100.0%
18	8	100.0%	8	100.0%
High Need Chemical Dependency				
No	17	100.0%	17	100.0%
Yes	9	100.0%	9	100.0%
High Need Capital Offender				
No	25	100.0%	25	100.0%
Yes	1	100.0%	1	100.0%
High Need Sex Offender				
No	22	100.0%	22	100.0%
Yes	4	100.0%	4	100.0%
High Need Mental Health				
No	25	100.0%	25	100.0%
Yes	1	100.0%	1	100.0%
Moderate Need Chemical Dependency				
No	17	100.0%	17	100.0%
Yes	9	100.0%	9	100.0%
Moderate Need Capital Offender				
No	7	100.0%	7	100.0%
Yes	19	100.0%	19	100.0%
Moderate Need Mental Health				
No	20	100.0%	20	100.0%
Yes	6	100.0%	6	100.0%
Moderate Need Sex Offender				
No	25	100.0%	25	100.0%
Yes	1	100.0%	1	100.0%
Committing County				
Bell	1	100.0%	1	100.0%
Bexar	2	100.0%	2	100.0%
Fort Bend	1	100.0%	1	100.0%
Harris	4	100.0%	4	100.0%
Hidalgo	3	100.0%	3	100.0%
Mclennan	3	100.0%	3	100.0%
Tarrant	1	100.0%	1	100.0%
*Other Counties	11	100.0%	11	100.0%

** Note: Only counties with 25 or more youth reviewed are displayed individually.*